



Summary of the conference

A. F. Żarnecki

Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoza 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland

Received 16th September 2011

Abstract.

Keywords : Robotic astronomy, Autonomous observatories, Gamma Ray Burst (GRB),

1. Introduction

Robotic Autonomous Observatories become more and more popular tool for doing high level scientific research in astronomy, astrophysics and cosmology. Over a hundred such observatories are currently in operation all over the world, on all continents (see fig. 1), additional ones are in design or construction phase (1). Many projects were presented at this conference, showing large progress in the field over the last two years. In this summary, I will point to selected contributions, trying to indicate the most important developments as well as results, which seemed most interesting to me.

2. Scientific goals

Robotic telescopes are a must in the search for optical emission from Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs). This is because fast reaction to the GCN alert from the satellite (repointing of the telescope to the GRB position) is crucial and delay due to the human factor had to be eliminated. However, searching for GRBs is the main goal only for about 30% of the projects. Other research goals, which profit from the robotic operation of the instruments include all-sky surveys, object monitoring, exoplanet, supernovae and asteroid searches. There is also a growing number of telescopes used for education.

Robotic observatories can play an important role in understanding sources of AGN short scale and long scale variabilities. Several models were proposed: accretion disk instability, supernova and starbursts, as well as gravitational microlensing. With precise data coming from observational campaigns aimed at monitoring large sample of AGN of different types or smaller samples of a



Figure 1. Robotic telescope projects of the world (1).

certain class over long period of time, validity of these models can be tested. In case of FR II-type radio sources additional information can be used to provide disc inclination measurement. Results from the first year of dedicated observations were presented in (2).

Highly variable optical emission is also observed from low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) and cataclysmic variables (CVs). However, profiles of the individual outbursts (and/or high/low states) can be heavily affected by insufficient sampling. Robotic monitoring of these objects can significantly increase number of early outburst detections and increase number of observations following the outburst. Large fraction of events can be easily detected even by small-aperture, wide-field monitors (3).

Global robotic telescope networks are also involved in the study of microlensing events and exoplanet searches. Monitoring of a large number of objects with high precision and good temporal resolution is mandatory here. However, automatic follow-up of the discovered transient by other telescopes in the network is also crucial for obtaining high quality continuous light curve (4).

Robotic telescopes are also well suited for multi-wavelength observations, including detailed spectral analysis with a set of narrow-band filters or dedicated spectrograph. Automatic determination of red-shift for a huge number of objects can open new “exotic” research possibilities as study of the Barionic Acoustic Oscillations and constraining the equation of state of the Dark Energy (5). Such observations can be also used for detailed study of Milky Way structure, galaxy evolution, galaxy clusters, SNe or GRBs (6). Detailed analysis of the spectral lines evolution with time can reveal unique information on variable or fast rotating stars, as the surface temperature distribution or magnetic field (7).

Not only celestial objects are of interest for robotic observatories. More and more attention is devoted to Near Earth Objects (NEOs) also as a part of Space Situation Awareness (SSA) programs. Discovery and follow-up observations of “cosmic debris” is very important for safety of future space missions. High quality observations with robotic telescopes, which allow for precise tracking of NEOs, can help filling “blank pages” in debris catalogs and improve precision of their orbit determination (8).

Robotic observatories and remote telescopes can also play an important role as an outreach tool, promoting astronomy and science in general amongst people of all age groups. Direct access to the telescope or operating the telescope system remotely in real-time is an unique and memorable experience for children and students (9; 10). However, this is also the case when they are invited to take part in a true research project, where they can help in the analysis of real, high quality data, and contribute to important scientific outcome. Internet technologies opened wide possibilities for citizen science projects of this kind (11).

3. Recent developments

New instruments, which are currently in the construction or design phase, will largely improve measurement sensitivity, precision and coverage. Also important for robotic telescopes is fast response time, and high temporal resolution. Two other features, which become standard in the new designs is the possibility of performing multi-wavelength and/or polarization measurements.

Good example of the project which aims at the best possible measurement precision is the PLATO Antarctic observatories project (12). At cost orders of magnitude lower than for the space mission, selected locations in Antarctica offer observation conditions much better than at any other place on the world. Total winter-time atmospheric seeing is about 0.25 arcsec (median). However, for such a location fully autonomous robotic telescope is a must.

Observation conditions are just one of the factors determining the measurement precision. In most cases, largest errors are due to instrumental effects, related to the shutter performance, CCD response and noise, image distortions and photometry algorithms (13). Empirical estimation and periodic checks of readout chain parameters is the only way to reduce the impact of these effects. With the proper choice of reference stars photometry accuracy of the order of 0.002 – 0.003^m can be obtained. For wide field-of-view devices star image distortion can be very large. Parametrization of the point spread function (PSF) shape variation over the CCD surface can help reducing both the photometry and astrometry errors (14).

For optical observations of Gamma-ray bursts (GRB) at least two approaches are possible. The first one is to observe the whole field of view of the space born gamma ray detectors. This permit to record whole optical prompt emission of the identified GRB, provided the burst is bright enough to be detected by a wide field-of-view instrument (15) The other option is to follow up the triggers coming from satellites. A number of dedicated robotic telescopes with rapid response capability has been build with this goal. While the initial part of the light curve is lost, what is

measured should be still enough to reconstruct phenomenological properties of prompt emission and unveil a physical nature of the GRB phenomenon, at least for the long bursts (16).

As mentioned above, more and more robotic telescopes are capable of doing spectral analysis. One of the solutions is to split incoming light and take simultaneous images with multiple CCD cameras equipped with different photometric filters (6). With this approach fast optical transients, as GRBs, can also be studied. More precise spectral analysis is possible with use of narrow-band filters. T250 telescope at Javalambre will use a set of 56 filters, allowing for redshift determination with precision up to $\Delta z \sim 0.3\%$ (5). All filters can be simultaneously located in the filter tray. However, each can be used only for one out of the 14 CCD sensors covering telescope FoV. Reconstruction of the complete spectra is only possible by combining images taken at many different pointings. Most precise reconstruction of the spectra for selected object, including detailed time variation measurements (as Time-Series Doppler Imaging) can be obtained with use of fiber-fed échelle spectrograph. The STELLA/SES robotic telescope provides such a measurement with resolution $\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 50\,000$ (17).

Recently, new window to the understanding of the GRB phenomena was also opened by the MASTER-Net telescopes: first polarization measurement of the prompt optical emission was made for GRB100906A (18). Much progress is also observed in the software and web applications for robotic telescopes. Control over the robotic observatory becomes easier and easier with usage of standard tools. New tools are being developed for telescope running, infrastructure monitoring and environmental data access (19), as well as for reviewing alert response and on-line image processing results (6).

4. Robotic Telescope Networks

Robotic autonomous observatories became a standard tool in astronomy. In most cases they are more efficient and reliable than those depending on “on-line” human control (on site or remote). However, even more possibilities open, if we connect a number of robotic telescopes in a network. This is of special importance in case of GRB studies, as time and position of the burst are completely random. With network units located at different parts of the globe, chances of making optical GRB observations significantly increase, depending much less on the local weather conditions or time of the day. Successful examples of such an approach include MASTER-Net (18) and BOOTES Network (4).

The aim of GLORIA project (20) is to open robotic telescope infrastructure to all professional and amateur astronomers. The idea is to create a dedicated network of telescopes and a social network for doing research. Members of the GLORIA community will be able to propose new observations or observations strategy (so called “on-line” experiments). Rules for assigning the observing time will take into account user’s “karma”, which should reflect user’s reputation, based on the responses from the community. All users will also be able to access and analyze data collected by telescopes, and propose dedicated studies (“off-line” experiments) which can

involve other community members. We also hope that we will be able to attract more telescope owners, both professionals and amateurs, to join the network

5. Summary

Second Workshop on Robotic Autonomous Observatories was a very successful meeting. We had listened to almost 50 presentations and about 20 poster presentations. I apologize for covering only a small and arbitrary selection of subjects in this short summary. One should also mention that there was a lot of time left for fruitful discussions, which should result in further development in the field and new collaborations. I hope we will see many new interesting results at the next meeting.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to organizers for inviting us to the beautiful town of Torremolinos and for perfect preparation of the meeting. This work has been financed by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education in 2009-2011 as a research project.

References

- [1] Hessman F., <http://www.uni-sw.gwdg.de/~hessman/MONET/links.html>
- [2] Zola S., et al., Optical monitoring of FR II-type galaxies, these proceedings.
- [3] Simon V., Activity of mass-accreting compact objects - prospects for the optical and X-ray monitoring, these proceedings.
- [4] Castro-Tirado A. J., The BOOTES Project: A world wide network of robotic telescopes, these proceedings.
- [5] Cenarro J., Robotic Telescopes at Javalambre: the Javalambre-PAU Astrophysical Survey, these proceedings.
- [6] Kawai N., et al., The MITSUME robotic telescopes in Japan, these proceedings.
- [7] Strassmeier K. G., et al., Science with STELLA, these proceedings.
- [8] Muiños J. L., First observations of the Fabra-ROA telescope at the Montsec Astronomical Observatory, these proceedings.
- [9] Hezam N., EMO Green astronomy projects and the community, these proceedings.
- [10] Smith N., Engaging the public with science using robotic and remote telescopes, these proceedings.
- [11] Mankiewicz L., Zooniverse, these proceedings.
- [12] Storey J., The PLATO robotic Antarctic observatories, these proceedings.
- [13] Eibe M. T., The road to high precision photometry, these proceedings.
- [14] Piotrowski L. W., Modelling of the Pi of the Sky detector response, these proceedings.
- [15] Zaremba M., et al., Pi of the Sky telescopes in Spain and Chile, these proceedings.
- [16] Poznanenko A., Follow up vs. synchronous observations of GRB, these proceedings.

- [17] Weber M., The STELLA échelle spectrograph, five years of robotic high-resolution spectroscopy, these proceedings.
- [18] Liapunov V. M., Global MASTER net project, these proceedings.
- [19] Cao Z., The robotic environment system for LAMOST, these proceedings.
- [20] Sánchez Moreno F., GLObal Robotic telescopes Intelligent Array for e-Science, these proceedings.